1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NO. 4:09CR3031
4	Plaintiff, )
5	vs. ) Lincoln, Nebraska ) March 25, 2011
6	MICHAEL KONING, ) 8:02 a.m.
7	Defendant. )
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CHANGE OF PLEA PROCEEDINGS
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHERYL ZWART UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12	CNTTED BINTED PROTEINTE CODE
13	
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	For the Plaintiff: STEVEN A. RUSSELL U.S. Attorney's Office -
17	Lincoln 100 Centennial Mall North
18	487 Federal Building Lincoln, Nebraska 68508
19	For the Defendant: DAVID A. DOMINA
20	Domina Law Firm 2425 South 144th Street
21	Omaha, Nebraska 68144
22	
23	
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,
25	transcript produced by transcription service

```
(Whereupon, at 8:02 a.m., the following proceedings were
 1
 2
    had in open court:)
               THE COURT: We're on the record in Case Number
 3
 4
     4:09CR3031, United States of America versus Michael Koning.
 5
               Counsel, please enter your appearance.
 6
               MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, please enter the appearance
 7
    of Steven Russell on behalf of the United States.
 8
               THE COURT: You may stay seated.
 9
               MR. DOMINA: Dave Domina on behalf of the defendant,
10
    Your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: And you may stay seated in this courtroom
12
    because we only do audio in here.
13
               And that's for you to understand, too, Mr. Koning,
14
    because we'll need to hear everything that you say in that
15
    microphone in front of you.
16
               MR. KONING: Okay.
17
               THE COURT: All right.
               Mr. Koning, you're here today because I've been told
18
    you want to enter a plea of guilty. Is that correct?
19
20
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: I need to explain to you, sir, that I'm
22
    not your sentencing judge. I'm not the one who's going to
23
    determine whether your guilty plea will be accepted or whether
24
    your plea agreement will be accepted. All of those decisions
25
    will be by your sentencing judge who is Judge Kopf. But what I
```

```
1
    can do for you today is gather some information and make a
 2
    recommendation to Judge Kopf regarding those issues.
 3
               Do you agree to proceed before me today?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I agree.
 5
               THE COURT: Please raise your right hand.
 6
               Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole
 7
    truth, and nothing but the truth?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I do.
 9
               THE COURT: You've now sworn to tell the truth, and
    what that means is if you lie during this proceeding, you can
10
11
    be separately prosecuted for the crime of perjury. Do you
12
    understand that?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
14
               THE COURT: At this time, I'm going to ask Mr.
15
    Russell to explain the charge to which you intend to plead
16
    guilty and the possible penalty.
17
               Mr. Russell.
18
               MR. RUSSELL: Dr. Koning, the charge to which you
19
     intend to plead guilty is Count IV of the Indictment. Count IV
20
    alleges a violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section
    7201, which is tax evasion, and also 18, U.S.C., Section 2,
21
22
    which is aiding and abetting.
23
               With respect to that crime, the maximum penalty is up
24
    to five years in prison, up to a $250,000 fine or both such
25
    fine and imprisonment, a mandatory special assessment of $100,
```

```
and a term of supervised release of up to three years.
 1
 2
               Sir, do you understand the nature of the crime
 3
    charged and the maximum possible penalties?
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Dr. Koning, now that you've heard those -
    the charge and the possible penalty, is it still your intent to
 6
 7
    plead guilty?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               It is.
 9
               THE COURT: I have before me, sir, a petition to
10
    enter a plea of guilty and a plea agreement. Do you have those
11
    in front of you?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
13
               THE COURT: The petition is a document that has
14
    several questions in it to which answers need to be completed.
15
    It appears that you completed this document on March 24th,
16
    which was yesterday. Is that correct?
17
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               That's correct, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: It also appears that the plea agreement
19
    was completed yesterday. Is that correct?
20
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Also correct, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: When you went through these documents,
22
    were you under the influence of drugs or alcohol or anything
23
    that would impair your thinking?
24
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               No.
25
               THE COURT: Was Mr. Domina there to assist you to
```

```
1
    understand the documents?
 2
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he was.
 3
               THE COURT: Going first to the petition to enter a
 4
    plea of guilty, it has several questions, and answers are
 5
    completed in it. Are those answers that are in the petition in
 6
    your handwriting or Mr. Domina's?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Domina's handwriting.
 8
               THE COURT: I take it then that he went through the
 9
    document with you and explained the questions to you?
10
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, item by item.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. And then did you answer the
12
    questions aloud?
13
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
              THE COURT: Did he write down your answers correctly?
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT: He did.
16
              THE COURT: Were your answers truthful?
17
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18
               THE COURT: And after you completed the document -
19
    well, let me ask you this first: Were there any questions that
20
    he was not able to explain to you?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: In other words, did you understand this
23
    document?
24
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understood.
25
              THE COURT: And did you read --
```

```
1
              THE DEFENDANT: I do understand it.
 2
              THE COURT: I'm sorry. And do - did you read it?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 4
              THE COURT: All right. And after you read it and it
 5
    was explained to you, then did you sign it?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: Now, going to the plea agreement.
 8
    plea agreement does not have questions in it, but it does have
    certain provisions between you and the Government. Did you
 9
    read this document?
10
11
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12
              THE COURT: Did Mr. Domina explain the document to
13
    you?
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he did.
14
15
              THE COURT: Were there any questions that you have
    about this document that he was not able to answer to your
16
17
    satisfaction?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: No. All the questions were answered.
19
               THE COURT: All right. And after you went through
20
    the document, did you sign it?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
               THE COURT: Did anybody promise you anything to get
22
23
    you to sign either of these documents other than the promises
24
    in the plea agreement itself?
25
              THE DEFENDANT: No.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Did anybody threaten you in any way to
 2
    get you to sign these documents?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT: No.
               THE COURT: Do you understand, sir, that if the Court
 4
 5
    accepts your plea of guilty, you will be found guilty of a
 6
    felony?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 8
              THE COURT: Do you understand that if your Court
 9
    accepts your plea of guilty you will not have a trial as to
10
    those charges?
11
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
12
               THE COURT: In other words, by pleading guilty,
    you're giving up your trial rights. Do you understand that?
13
14
              THE DEFENDANT: Understood.
15
              THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right
16
    to plead not guilty in this case and make the Government try to
17
    prove it at trial?
18
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: You've been represented in this case from
20
    the beginning by Mr. Domina. Is that correct?
21
              THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
22
               THE COURT: Do you believe he's investigated this
23
    case sufficiently so that you know what to do today?
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
25
              THE COURT: Are you satisfied with his
```

representation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.

THE COURT: If this case were to go to trial; in other words, if you pled not guilty instead, you would be - continue to be entitled to counsel at trial. In other words, Mr. Domina would continue to represent you in this case. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Understood.

THE COURT: And do you also understand that if you chose to go to trial instead of pleading guilty, you would have the right to see and hear all of the witnesses testifying against you and to have them cross-examined on your behalf?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You would have the right to call witnesses for you, and if they would not come voluntarily, you would have the right to use this Court's subpoena power to make them come and testify. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: And finally, at that trial, you would have the right to testify yourself if you thought that was in your best interest. Or you could exercise your constitutional right to remain silent. And if you remain silent at trial, the jury would be instructed and would not be allowed to consider your silence in determining whether you're guilty. And at that trial the Government would not get a conviction against you

```
1
    unless and until it proved to the unanimous satisfaction of all
 2
    of the jurors that you were guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
 3
               Do you understand your trial rights, sir?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 5
               THE COURT: Are you willing to give up those trial
 6
    rights and plead quilty instead?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I am.
 8
               THE COURT: With a guilty plea on a felony
 9
    conviction, there will be the loss of civil rights.
    civil rights include the right to vote, the right to serve on a
10
     jury, the right to hold a public office, the right to carry a
11
12
    gun. You may lose some of those rights permanently or at least
13
    for a period of time, but there will be losses of civil rights.
    Do you understand that?
14
15
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
16
               THE COURT: And knowing that there will be a loss of
17
    civil rights with a felony conviction, are you nonetheless
18
    willing to plead guilty in this case?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: And, finally, there are community
21
     consequences to having a felony record. The one that comes to
22
    mind most often is the difficulty in finding jobs.
23
    employers do look at that when they determine whether to hire
24
    somebody. Do you understand that, sir?
25
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: And do you understand the Court can do
 2
     little to help you if an employer determines that you are not
 3
    qualified or will not be hired for a job because of a felony
 4
    conviction? Do you understand that?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
 6
               THE COURT: You're looking at a possible sentence of
 7
    up to five years in prison, a fine of $250,000 in addition to
 8
    any prison term - and that's a possible fine in addition - a
 9
    mandatory special assessment of $100, and a term of supervised
10
    release following any term of imprisonment of up to three
11
    years.
12
               Is that your understanding of your possible sentence?
13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               It is.
               THE COURT: Has Mr. Domina explained the sentencing
14
15
    guidelines to you?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, he has.
17
               THE COURT: Has he explained that the guidelines will
18
    set a range that Judge Kopf will begin his consideration -
19
    where Judge Kopf will begin his consideration in determining
20
    what your sentence ought to be?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Okay. Has he also explained to you that
23
    Judge Kopf will consider all of your relevant conduct in
24
    determining what your sentence ought to be?
25
               THE DEFENDANT: He has.
```

THE COURT: And has he also explained to you, and do you understand that based upon Judge Kopf's determination of those facts, your sentence can be anywhere within the range but it can be above that range or below that range based upon his determination of your relevant conduct? Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: Now, there are several counts in this case, and you're only pleading to one count. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: With respect to the other counts in this case, even though you are not pleading to those counts, Judge Kopf can consider the facts underlying those counts in determining what your sentence ought to be. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: And do you understand that if he determines that the facts underlying counts you're not pleading to today are true, he can enhance your sentence or go beyond the range up to the statutory maximum based upon his factual determination. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: I understand. THE COURT: In other words, you need not plead guilty to all of the counts for him to nonetheless consider the facts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

underlying those counts in determining your sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At this time, I'm going to have Mr.

Russell explain the terms of your plea agreement. I ask you to listen carefully as he does so because I'll ask you some questions about what he said.

Mr. Russell.

MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, the plea agreement in this matter is that the defendant would agree to plead guilty to Count IV of the Indictment.

The Government agrees to move to dismiss the remaining counts at the time of sentencing obviously if the Court accepts the plea agreement.

This is a cooperation plea agreement. There are cooperation provisions. The defendant is aware that the United States has made no evaluation about whether that cooperation is substantial at this time, but the United States will consider all cooperation in order to determine whether a motion under sentencing guideline section 5K1.1, 18 U.S.C., Section 3553(e) and/or separately under Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure should be filed in this case. And the defendant does agree to provide cooperation to the United States in remaining cases.

With respect to the sentencing recommendations, Your

Honor, the - all recommendations by the parties are made pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

The parties do agree to recommend that the defendant be held responsible beyond a reasonable doubt for a tax loss of between 400,000 to 1 million dollars which sets the defendant's base offense level at 20.

All other sentencing guideline adjustments are left to the discretion of the Court.

The defendant does acknowledge that restitution may be ordered.

And there are various provisions related to the restitution that may be ordered by the Court.

If the defendant is entitled to receive an offense level reduction for acceptance of responsibility, the Government does agree to move to reduce the defendant's offense level by one additional level pursuant to Section 3E1.1(b) of the federal sentencing guidelines.

All other sentencing considerations, including specific offense characteristics, role adjustments, criminal history category are left to the determination of the sentencing court.

The defendant does - excuse me. The parties do agree that the defendant may request or recommend additional downward adjustments, departures under the sentencing guidelines or

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

under 18, U.S.C., Section 3553, but that either side may address those concerns appropriately with the Court in determining the final sentence. The defendant does agree by this plea agreement to waive his right to withdraw his plea of guilty pursuant to Rule 11(d) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The defendant may only withdraw his plea of guilty in the event the Court rejects the plea agreement pursuant to Rule 11(c)(5) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Your Honor, I believe those are the main points of the plea agreement. THE COURT: Mr. Domina, does that fairly summarize the plea agreement? MR. DOMINA: Your Honor, it does. I'd like to note for your information and for the record that as the plea agreement was negotiated, the defense, and in our conversations with the prosecution, we were guided in principle, not in eventual text, and the text does accurately describe the plea agreement, by the agreements reached by the United States in Cases 10CR3044 and 3045 involving two other physicians. That being said, the document before the Court and the summary by Mr. Russell are accurate. THE COURT: And is that a true statement, sir, Mr. Russell?

MR. RUSSELL: Yes.

```
1
               MR. DOMINA: There is one exception which I did not
 2
    mention, and that exception is that the dollar amount in this
 3
    case is significantly different than those two.
 4
               MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. I believe that is
 5
    correct.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. So guided in principle with the
 7
    dollar amount different.
 8
               MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: All right.
10
               Mr. Koning, did you hear what your counsel and Mr.
11
    Russell have said?
12
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
13
               THE COURT: Does Mr. Russell's dissertation about
14
    your plea agreement, along with Mr. Domina's characterization
15
    of how you came to it. match your understanding of your
16
    agreement with the Government?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: It does.
18
               THE COURT: Do you believe any promises have been
19
    made to you other than those in the plea agreement itself?
20
               THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: The plea agreement has a cooperation
22
             I want to make sure you understand what the
23
    cooperation clause means. Under the cooperation clause, if you
24
    provide substantial assistance to the Government, the
25
    Government will consider moving to have your sentence lowered
```

```
1
    and then Judge Kopf will determine whether the sentence will
 2
    actually be lowered. Do you understand that?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT:
                               I understand.
 4
               THE COURT: It's actually a three-prong analysis.
 5
    First of all, you provide assistance to the Government in the
 6
    prosecution of other cases. Do you understand that?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Then the Government makes a determination
 9
    of whether your cooperation was of substantial assistance to
10
    the Government. Do you understand that?
11
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
12
               THE COURT: And if the Government determines that
    yes, you did assist but it wasn't of any substantial assistance
13
14
    to them, they need not even consider moving to lower your
15
    sentence. Do you understand that?
16
              THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
17
               THE COURT: And if they decide that you've provided
    substantial assistance, they will consider moving for a
18
19
    downward departure of your sentence. Do you understand that?
20
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
               THE COURT: And that is their call about moving for
21
22
    that downward departure. Do you understand that?
               THE DEFENDANT:
23
                               I understand.
24
               THE COURT: And if they do that and if you think they
25
    should, it'll ultimately be up to Judge Kopf to make a
```

```
determination whether your sentence will actually be lowered.
 1
 2
    Do you understand?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I understand.
               THE COURT: And he could say no. Do you understand
 4
 5
    that?
 6
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
 7
               THE COURT: You're agreeing in the plea agreement
 8
    that you are responsible for a tax loss of between 400,000 and
 9
    1 million dollars. Is that true?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: That is correct.
11
               THE COURT: Are you responsible for that tax loss?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: I believe that I am.
               THE COURT: All right. Now, there's a restitution
13
    provision in the plea agreement, and my understanding is that
14
15
    the restitution goes not to just the taxes that are owed in
16
    Count Number IV - allegedly owed in Count Number IV, but to any
17
    taxes that may have been owed as to any of the tax years
     involved in the Indictment. Do you understand that, sir?
18
19
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
               Mr. Russell, I want you to make sure you explain this
22
    to him and we get this on the record for Judge Kopf.
23
               MR. RUSSELL: Well, again, Your Honor, as we have
24
    discussed previously, the Government, with respect to the tax
25
    loss, has determined a criminal tax loss regardless of what may
```

```
1
    be further assessed by the Internal Revenue Service as far as
 2
    interest penalties and other adjustments. So the tax loss
 3
    figure that has been determined by the parties in this case is
 4
    a criminal tax loss figure for criminal tax loss purposes only.
 5
    And when I mean "criminal tax loss purposes," I am meaning for
 6
    determination of the appropriate sentencing quideline range --
 7
               THE COURT: And do you --
 8
              MR. RUSSELL: -- and for restitution as well.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. And do you have an amount in mind
     on that at --
10
11
              MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor. $989,000.
12
               THE COURT: All right. And you're looking at that as
    what you're going to be requesting with respect to restitution
13
14
    and what you're going to be using at the time of sentencing.
15
    You, the Government --
16
              MR. RUSSELL: Yes.
17
               THE COURT: -- at the time of sentencing in
18
    determining what their proper sentence ought to be.
19
    correct?
20
                             Yes. And - I'm not trying to be face -
              MR. RUSSELL:
21
    that is our determination of the criminal tax loss.
22
               THE COURT: I take it that number, though, is still
23
    in the air. Would that be correct? In other words, there's
24
    not a specific number on that.
25
               Is that correct, Mr. Domina?
```

MR. DOMINA: Your Honor, I think that is correct.

The number we first had a calculation for, an estimate from the Government, was 983,000 which we first heard in late 2008.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DOMINA: At the time, the defendant voluntarily paid to the IRS, under a bond procedure and a revenue ruling, the sum of \$983,000. He is aware that Count IV of the Indictment, to which he has pled guilty, involves a claim that his taxes were under-reported and underpaid in the amount of -236 or 63?

THE COURT: \$237,217 - \$236, 217.

MR. DOMINA: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. DOMINA: To clarify the defendant's understanding and assist the Court and perhaps Judge Kopf with this, for example, one of the tax years at issue here is tax year 2004. The defendant, without plea agreement condition, recently, when he could get it, calculated - filed a 2004 tax return and paid approximately \$267,000 for that year which is a year at issue here. So he does understand that the restitution amount is for all years in the Indictment through 2004. And his intention has been to pay all of that amount before today. Mr. Russell's number is \$6,000 more than he's paid and we will attend to making sure that's also paid, but our intention has been to make sure that was handled well before today and without plea

```
condition anticipating that eventually if there was a
 1
 2
    conviction there would be a need to make restitution. And if
 3
    there was no conviction there was a need to pay the tax.
 4
               THE COURT: All right.
 5
               Mr. Koning, did you hear what Mr. Russell and your
 6
    attorney said?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: Are you understanding all of that, sir?
 9
                               I understand.
               THE DEFENDANT:
10
               THE COURT: And have you made those payments toward
11
    the taxes that are owed?
12
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
               THE COURT: But do you understand that nonetheless,
13
    the amount of tax that was out there can be considered for - as
14
15
    criminal tax loss for the determination of your sentence in
16
    this case?
17
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I understand.
18
               THE COURT: You were asked in the petition to enter a
19
    plea of guilty why you entered into the plea agreement.
20
    gave three specific answers. 1) "I want to honestly dispose of
    the Indictment.' Number 2) "I have always before now and I now
21
    desire to cooperate with the U.S." And number 3) "I want to
22
23
    accept responsibility for listening to Lowell Baisden when I
24
    should have known better."
25
               Are those your reasons for entering into the
```

```
agreement, sir?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they are.
 3
               THE COURT: Are there any other reasons?
 4
                               I would like to make this right if
               THE DEFENDANT:
 5
    it's possible.
 6
               THE COURT: All right. You understand, sir, that
 7
    whether you plead guilty or whether you go to trial, you may
 8
    get the same sentence.
 9
               THE DEFENDANT: I understand.
10
               THE COURT: All right.
11
               At this time, I'm going to have Mr. Russell explain
12
    the factual basis of your plea. Again, I ask you to listen
13
    carefully as he does so because I'll ask you some questions
    about what he said.
14
15
               Mr. Russell.
16
               MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, the evidence in this matter
17
    would show that this investigation came to the attention of the
18
    Internal Revenue Service during an investigation of
19
    BioVentures, Incorporated, a Nevada corporation, which turned
20
    into, then, an investigation into a tax evasion scheme
21
     involving improper income shifting to that corporation.
22
               With respect - well, Dr. Michael Koning was a medical
23
    doctor, an anesthesiologist, licensed to practice medicine in
24
    the state of Nebraska and was working and resided in North
25
    Platte, Nebraska, from 1997 through approximately June, 2004.
```

He owned and operated Anesthesia Consultants of Nebraska, Incorporated.

For the specific year of 2000 - calendar year of 2003, the evidence would be that Dr. Koning authorized the filing of a tax return which indicated his address in Del Norte, Colorado, when in fact Dr. Koning resided in North Platte, Nebraska. It also indicated on the return no income for wages or salaries when in fact Dr. Koning was essentially doing anesthesia work for Anesthesia Consultants of Nebraska, and earned approximately \$935,959 of income during that period of time.

During the course of the investigation, it was determined that, although the income tax return for the calendar year 2003 listed a total amount of tax due and owing of \$2,544 on the 1040 return of Dr. Michael Koning and Susan Baisden Koning, in fact the investigation determined that there was a substantial amount of tax due and owing from the calendar year 2003 and approximately \$236,217 was evaded for the calendar year 2003.

The evidence would also be that there were other methods used by Dr. Koning and his wife in order to evade that amount of tax other than just the improper income shifting and that these were all designed through conversations and agreements he had with Lowell Baisden.

So during the calendar year of 2003, the evidence

```
1
    would show that Michael Koning and Susan Baisden Koning
 2
    concealed and attempted to conceal the extent of the income
 3
    that Michael Koning earned as an anesthesiologist with -
 4
    practicing in North Platte, Nebraska, again, to the sum of
 5
    $935,000.
 6
               And, Your Honor, we believe that's what the evidence
 7
    would show.
 8
               The only other - I guess I forgot one thing. Because
 9
    of the 2003 year, the income tax return was filed on or about
    April 15th, 2004.
10
11
               THE COURT: Okay.
12
               Mr. Domina, do you agree that if this case went to
    trial, the Government would be able to produce evidence
13
    consistent with what Mr. Russell just stated?
14
15
               MR. DOMINA: I do, Your Honor. May I speak with Mr.
16
    Russell for just a second?
17
               THE COURT Certainly.
18
                    (Whereupon, there was an off-the-record
19
    discussion between counsel.)
20
               MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, one additional matter that
21
    Mr. Domina just brought to my attention: During the course of
22
    the investigation - the investigation was into the improper
23
    income shifting conducted during the course of the calendar
24
    year 2003 by Mr. Koning through his work as an
25
    anesthesiologist. The investigation conducted by the IRS did
```

```
not reveal any questions regarding patient care or patient
 2
    concerns. This was merely a financial investigation conducted
 3
    by the Internal Revenue Service. And, although Dr. Koning is
 4
    an anesthesiologist, I agree with Mr. Domina that that was the
 5
    vehicle in which the financial investigation was done. It was
    not done in the context of medical care.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
               Mr. Koning, did you listen as Mr. Russell explained
 9
    the Government's evidence against you?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
11
               THE COURT: Starting out at the top, were you and
12
    your - Susan Baisden Koning married during the year 2003?
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
14
               THE COURT: Did you file a joint tax return for the
15
    tax year 2003?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: We did.
17
               THE COURT: Did you file that return on or about
18
    April 15th, 2004?
19
               THE DEFENDANT: I authorized Lowell Baisden to submit
20
    that return electronically, and it was filed on my behalf.
               THE COURT: Okay. Had you reviewed it before it was
21
    filed?
22
23
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I don't recall if I reviewed it
24
    before or after it was filed, but I failed to correct it and -
25
    in the event --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: All right.
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: -- after I did review it.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. During the year 2003, were
 4
    you living in North Platte, Nebraska?
 5
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Were you working in North Platte,
 7
    Nebraska?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
               THE COURT: Were you - did you on your 2003 tax
10
    return state rather than that you lived in North Platte,
11
    Nebraska, that you had a Colorado address?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: I did have a Colorado address, but I
    was employed full-time in anesthesiology in North Platte,
13
14
    Nebraska.
15
               THE COURT: Did your tax return indicate that you
16
    were earning income and living in Nebraska?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: It did not.
18
               THE COURT: During 2003 when you were working for
19
    Anesthesiology (sic) Consultants of Nebraska, were you
20
    receiving salary or wages?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: That's a difficult question to
22
    answer.
23
               THE COURT: Let me ask you this: Did you earn money
    working for Anesthesia Consultants of Nebraska?
24
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. Did you report that money and
 2
    those earnings to the federal government in your IRS tax return
 3
    for 2003 as wages and earnings?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: No, not properly.
 5
               THE COURT: Was your income or your wages or your
    earnings, however we term that, from Anesthesiology Consultants
 6
 7
    of Nebraska for 2003 an amount that exceeded $900,000?
 8
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 9
               MR. DOMINA: We don't dispute that number, Your
            It was a substantial amount and whether it was 875 or
10
11
    902 or 940, Dr. Koning is aware it was a substantial amount.
12
    We do not dispute the Government's figure here today.
13
               THE COURT: All right.
14
              Do you agree with that, sir?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Instead of reporting the 872
17
    to 900,000-plus earnings that you received in 2003, did you in
    fact report substantially less income on that income tax
18
19
    return?
20
               THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.
21
               THE COURT: And as a result of reporting
22
    substantially less income, did you end up with a tax return
23
     indicating that you only owed the IRS $2,544?
24
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              Yes.
25
               THE COURT: Did you in fact owe the IRS not $2,544,
```

```
but rather an amount exceeding $200,000?
 1
 2
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 3
               THE COURT: Did you in essence conceal your true
 4
     income for 2003 in an attempt to defeat income tax?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Did you do that knowingly?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               I should have known.
 8
               THE COURT: Is that the standard, Mr. Russell?
 9
               MR. RUSSELL: Your Honor, I believe that standard is
     that he should have - that he knew. And he - I think the
10
11
     evidence would be that Dr. Koning knew at the time he was -
12
     especially with respect to the 2003 tax year, that the question
13
    was asked did Dr. Koning know that he was substantially
    understating his income tax, he would say yes.
14
15
               THE COURT: All right.
16
               When you filed your 2003 income tax return, did you
17
    know that you were substantially understating your income for
18
     the 2003 tax year?
19
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
20
               THE COURT: And did you know that that was going to
21
    result in you not having to pay the adequate amount of income
22
     tax due and owing?
23
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Any additional questions, Mr. Russell?
25
               MR. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor, I don't believe so.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Mr. Domina.
 2
               MR. DOMINA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: Mr. Koning, do you want this Court to
 4
    accept your plea of guilty?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I do.
 6
               THE COURT: I should ask one more question. Do you
 7
    believe the guilty plea is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary
 8
    and that there is a factual basis for it, Mr. Russell?
 9
              MR. RUSSELL: I do believe that, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Mr. Domina?
10
11
               MR. DOMINA: Yes, Your Honor, I do.
12
               THE COURT: All right.
              Now, back to you, Mr. Koning.
13
14
              Are you guilty of, for the tax year 2003, knowingly
15
    understating your actual income and earnings for that tax year
16
    in an attempt to evade and defeat the income tax actually due
17
    to the IRS for that year?
18
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: And were you living in Nebraska when you
20
    did so?
21
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes.
22
               THE COURT: Mr. Koning, I do find that your guilty
23
    plea is knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and that there is
24
    a factual basis for it.
25
               I will recommend to Judge Kopf that he accept your
```

plea of guilty. I will also recommend that he accept your plea agreement. He'll take up your plea agreement at the time of sentencing, and according to Miss Bierbower, we've set a sentencing date of - what was that, Jeri?

Okay. October 20th at noon.

Is that correct, counsel?

MR. RUSSELL: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. DOMINA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

There was also something that, Mr. Domina, you wanted added to the sentencing order for the purposes of your client's travel, I take it.

MR. DOMINA: Yes, Your Honor. With your permission and upon the recommendation of Pretrial Services already received, permission for Dr. Koning to retain his passport and to travel back to his work and to travel to see his children while here for the next few days in Montana are requested. And in order to be sure, since he lives, as the Court knows, presently and practices medicine in the Northern Marianas Islands, he has to go through Tokyo to get home which makes the passport necessary and the international travel necessary.

He will automatically find his name on appropriate lists of the United States, and we wonder if the Court would simply add a sentence to the order saying that the travel to and from the Northern Marianas through Tokyo and within the

```
1
    United States is not restricted pending his sentencing.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay.
 3
               MR. DOMINA: Or for the order of the Court as may be
 4
    appropriate.
 5
              THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Russell?
 6
               MR. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor.
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
               Miss Bierbower, you can add that sentence.
 9
    Essentially state that the Court has ordered that he may travel
10
    to and from the Northern Marianas through Tokyo.
11
               (To Mr. Domina) Is that how you want it?
12
               MR. DOMINA: I think it should be that way, Your
13
    Honor.
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
15
               (To Miss Bierbower) Through Tokyo pending final
16
    resolution of this case.
17
               THE DEFENDANT: May we make that connecting through
    Japan as necessary because sometimes through Nagoya as opposed
18
19
    to Narita, Tokyo.
20
               THE COURT: Okay.
21
               (To Miss Bierbower) Connecting through Japan as
22
    necessary.
23
               I'm trying to figure out - until when? I said until
24
    the final resolution of this case, but --
              MR. RUSSELL: I think until further order of the
25
```

```
1
    Court.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. That's perfect. Until further
    order of the Court.
 3
 4
               MR. DOMINA: And, Your Honor, you may - I don't know
 5
    if it would help at all, but perhaps this document - it's going
    to - obviously, a copy of it's going to be provided to Dr.
 6
 7
    Koning. Maybe it should be certified which provided a
 8
    certified copy of the document so that - I mean, I don't know
 9
    if they would accept that or if that has any added clout if
10
    there's a problem, but --
11
               THE COURT: Yeah. I have no problem with having the
12
    clerk's office give you a certified copy.
13
               MR. DOMINA: Thank you.
14
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: Now, I don't know if that's going to help
16
    you.
17
               MR. DOMINA: We understand.
18
               THE COURT: I do know that I am duly impressed with
19
    our border patrol because every movement you make is a call to
20
    our office to say - either me or to Mindy Bare saying is it
21
    okay. Is he supposed to be in custody? What are we supposed
22
    to be doing with him? I am duly impressed with our border
23
    patrol on that.
24
               So, now, I know that that's been a problem for you,
25
    but there are cases where we would like to know who's moving,
```

```
1
     so --
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Understand.
 3
               THE COURT: So I'm happy to hear that they're doing
 4
    that. But we will get that sentence added and to the extent we
 5
    can cut down on some of that aggravation for you, we will. I
 6
    don't know that we can, but we will do what we can.
 7
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much.
 8
               THE COURT: Anything else we need to take up?
 9
               MR. RUSSELL: No, Your Honor.
10
               MR. DOMINA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
               THE COURT: All right.
11
12
               Counsel are excused.
13
               We are in recess.
14
          (end of proceedings - 8:38 a.m.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the previous pages reflect truly, accurately and completely the recording of this proceeding as transcribed by me to the best of my ability.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 25th day of April,1 2011.

s/Karen Mason - Transcriptionist